UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,029	05/19/2006	Yukako Fukuhira	Q95047	7517
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 06/30/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE, N	SAJJADI, FEREYDOUN GHOTB		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTOI	N, DC 20037	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1633	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/580,029	FUKUHIRA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	FEREYDOUN G. SAJJADI	1633	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor			cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		E below),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti	**	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	21 See attached Nation of Nan Co.	mpliant Amandment (I	OTOL 224)
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpilant Amendment (i	-10L-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 6. Claim(s) rejected: 1.3.4.6 and 8-10. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 5 and 11.	· —	l be entered and an e:	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		condition for allowan	ce because:
12.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Forovdous G Soiledi/		
	/Fereydoun G Sajjadi/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1633	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner maintains the rejection of claims 1, 3, 4 and 8-10 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatable over Nishikawa et al. (Materials Sci. and Eng. C8-9: 495-500; 1999), in view of Watanabe et al. (Biomacromolecules 3:1109- 1114; 2002), and further in view of Sawhney, A. (U.S. Patent No.: 6,818,018; filed Aug. 14, 1998); and the rejection of claims 1 and 6 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishikawa et al. (Materials Sci. and Eng. C8-9: 495-500; 1999), in view of Watanabe et al. (Biomacromolecules 3:1109- 1114; 2002), and further in view of Sawhney, A. (U.S. Patent No.: 6,818,018; filed Aug. 14, 1998), as applied to claims 1, 3, 4 and 8-10 above, and further in view of Zou et al. (U.S. Patent Publication No.: 2002/0187105; filed Feb. 1, 2002).

Applicants' arguments are based on the evidence provided as an English translation of a Japanese publication "Specialty Polymer". However, Applicants have failed to provide good and sufficient reasons why the evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented (37 CFR §41.33(a), (d)(1) and §1.116(e); as the publication was available prior to Final rejection). As the evidence have not been entered, the arguments are not found to be persuasive.

The IDS filed 6/23/2009 has not been considered, because it was not accompanied by a statement as required in Rule 37 CFR 1.97(e).